

Application No. 10/758,767
Amendment Dated September 22, 2009
Reply to Office Action of June 25, 2009

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated June 25, 2009, claims 20-22, 26, 29-32, 35-38, 41 and 43-51 were allowed. However, claims 1, 4, 7, 9 and 12-15 were rejected under the doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over claim 18 of US Patent No. 7,126,017. In response, Applicant has submitted a Terminal Disclaimer over the '017 patent. In view of the Terminal Disclaimer and following remarks, reconsideration of this application is requested.


In the Office Action, claims 1, 4, 7, 9 and 12-15 were rejected on the grounds of obviousness type double patenting over claim 18 of US Patent No. 7,126,017. Applicant believes the Terminal Disclaimer is in proper form, and requests the approval of the disclaimer from the USPTO. Upon approval, Applicant requests the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1, 4, 7, 9 and 12-15 on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness type double patenting, and allow all of the currently pending claims.

An effort has been made to place this application in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By



Thomas M. Wozny
Reg. No. 28,922

100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Telephone: (414) 271-7590
Facsimile: (414) 271-5770